

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO
09/303,424	05/03/1999	JUSSI LEMILAINEN	061602-2675 (NC26017)	8349
27433 7:	590 11/28/2006		EXAMINER	
FOLEY & LA	ARDNER LLP		ABDI, K	AMBIZ
321 NORTH C	LARK STREET			
SUITE 2800			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
CHICAGO, IL	60610-4764	•	3621	
			DATE MAILED: 11/28/2006	5

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)	
•	09/303,424	LEMILAINEN ET AL.	
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit	
	Kambiz Abdi	3621	
The MAILING DATE of this commun		vith the correspondence address	
Period for Reply	·		·
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNI - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this community of the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (3). If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum states are provided by the office later than three months a contract of the part of the period for reply Any reply received by the Office later than three months a contract of the period for the provided by the Office later than three months a contract of the period for the period fo	ICATION. of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a nunication. 0) days, a reply within the statutory minimum of th atutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MC will, by statute, cause the application to become A	reply be timely filed irty (30) days will be considered timely. NTHS from the mailing date of this communication (ASANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).	on.
Status			
1) Responsive to communication(s) file	ed on <u>11 April 2005</u> .		
2a) This action is FINAL . 2	2b)⊠ This action is non-final.		
3) Since this application is in condition closed in accordance with the practice	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·		s
Disposition of Claims			,
4)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-35</u> is/are pending in the a	application.		
4a) Of the above claim(s) is/a			
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.	•		
6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-35</u> is/are rejected.			
7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.	•. •		
8) Claim(s) are subject to restrict	tion and/or election requirement.		
Application Papers			
9) The specification is objected to by the	e Examiner.		
10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are:		by the Examiner.	
Applicant may not request that any object	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	•	
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including	the correction is required if the drawin	g(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).
11) The oath or declaration is objected to	by the Examiner. Note the attache	ed Office Action or form PTO-152.	
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119		•	
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim	for foreign priority under 35 LLS C	8 119(a)-(d) or (f)	
a) ☐ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of:	ior loreign priority under 33 0.3.3.	9 119(a)-(d) 01 (i).	
,— <u> </u>	documents have been received.		
	documents have been received in	Application No.	
·	of the priority documents have bee		
·	nal Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).		
* See the attached detailed Office actio	* **	t received.	
Attachment(s)			
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)	· —	Summary (PTO-413)	
 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (P 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or 		(s)/Mail Date Informal Patent Application (PTO-152)	
Paper No(s)/Mail Date	6) Other:	***	

Art Unit: 3621

DETAILED ACTION

- 1. The prior office actions submitted by examiners (01/27/2004, 09/05/2003, 04/02/2003, 08/01/2002, 03/14/2002, 09/11/2001, 11/10/2004) are incorporated herein by reference. In particular, the observations with respect to claims language, and responses to previously presented arguments.
 - Claims 1-35 are pending.

Follow-up to the telephonic interview with applicant's representative G. Peter Albert on November 14, 2006 and the discussion of the merits of the claims and further clarification by the attorney and further examiner raising the issues of concern (clarification of terms, typos, and phrase modifications), in order to further expedite the prosecution, the examiner has reopened the prosecution and has submitted the following. The Applicant is strongly encouraged to contact the examiner for any additional discussion.

Response to Arguments

2. Applicant's arguments filed previously have been fully considered also applicant's discussions in the brief filed on September 11, 2006 have been fully considered.

Claim Objections

- 1. Claims 1-35 are objected to because of the following informalities: in the claim 1, clam contains the phrase "a requirement for the payment to be made for..." should be changed to "requesting to make the payment for..." The same essential issues are applicable to other independent claims as well.
- 2. Further in claim 21, the phrase "which is connectable..." should be changed to "connected". Also in claim 21 the phrase "that the user be authorized" should be changed to that the user is authorized" Further, claim 21 contains the phrase "the second network with the connection being paid for" should be changed to "the second network wherein the connection is paid for", moreover, phrase "requirement for the payment to be made "should be changed to "requiring a payment" Similar issue are existing with claims 24 as well.

Application/Control Number: 09/303,424 Page 3

Art Unit: 3621

3. Examiner would like to make the suggestion that for purposes of clarification and distinguishing the "data network" from voice networks, the "first network" be replaced by "user's wireless home network" and "second network" by "roaming wireless network" and "packet data network" with "internet" or "TCP/IP network".

Appropriate correction is required.

Allowable Subject Matter

5. Claims 2, 23, 25, 30 are objected to as being dependent upon a rejected base claim, but would be allowable if rewritten in independent form including all of the limitations of the base claim and any intervening claims.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 3. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
 - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 4. Claims 1, 3, 5-10, 13-15, 17-18, 21-22, 24, 26-29, 32, and 34-35 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over U.S. Patent No. 6,577,643 to Girish Rai in view of U.S. Patent No. 6,036,090 to Tariq Rahman et al. and further in view of and U.S. Patent No. 5,729,537 to Lars Axel Billstrom.
- 5. As per claims 1, 21, 22, 24, 26, and 27, Rai discloses charging for pay-per-access to a vendor network. Rai further discloses:

inputting a user request to the first network (home wireless service provider) which requests that the user be authorized for connection to the packet data network (internet service provider) that the web

Art Unit: 3621

page is on through the second network (foreign wireless service provider, visited network, or the roaming network); transmitting from the first network to the second network the user request and an authorization of payment to the second network by the first network for the use by the user of the packet data network; transmitting from the second network to the first network authentication information granting the user authentication to obtain connection through the second network to the packet data network; and transmitting the authentication information from the first network to the user which informs the user that authentication to obtain connection to the packet data network has been obtained (See Rai Fig. 3; col 6, line 64-col 7, line 12; col 8, lines 40-62; col 4, line 60- col 5, line 74 col 26, line 48-57; col 25, lines 42-50).

Rai further discloses that an access to a webpage on a network can be set to be valid during specific times or limited time periods (See Rai col 28, lines 9-25; col 26, line 63-co1 27, line 5; col 29, lines 57-65; col 30, lines 13-19; col 19, lines 26-31).

Rai further discloses a first network, a second network, and a packet data network (See Rai Fig. 3; col 6, line 64-col 7, line 12; col 8, lines 40-62; col 4, line 60-col 5, line 7; col 26, line 48-574 col 25, lines 42-50).

Rai further discloses that the second network debits from a stored value of service units which have been granted to the user a number of consumed service units, which are identified in each request for consumption of at least one service unit until the number of consumed service units equals the number of granted service units (See Rai col 27, line 44-col 30, line 46; col 6, lines 26-35)

Rai further discloses the user roaming the second network (See Rai Fig. 34; col 6, line 64-col 7, line 12; col 8, lines 40-62; col 4, line 60-col 5, line 74 col 26, line 48-57; col 25, lines 42-50).

What Rai does not explicitly disclose is the connection being paid for by the first network making payment to the second network, that a requirement for the payment to be made is the result of communications which first originate with the user request to the first network.

However, Rahman clearly discloses the method of free roaming. As the visited network communicated with the home network of the user and request authorization to commence communication and provide access to the user as well as requesting payment and start charging the home network for

Art Unit: 3621

the communication services provided by the second network to the user (free roamer) based on the preassigned amount of funds for the use by the users home network (balance) as well as there is no agreement or contract (See Rahman col. 2, lines 48-63, col. 3, lines 17-20, lines 34-48, and 59-62)

Additionally, the user anonymity is preserved by the teachings of Billstrom (See Billstrom col. 3, lines 31-48, col. 5, lines 9-34, and col. 8, lines 6-19).

Therefore, it would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to add Rahman's utilization the virtue of not having agreement as well as debiting an account balance or available funds of the home network, to Rai's utilization of tables and accounting information. One would have been motivated to do (See Rahman col. 1, lines 37-40) this because a debiting is an obvious way of managing a user account and making payment for the free roamers with no agreements or contracts, which have no affiliation with the network that they are utilizing to conduct the communication via and in particular if there is a need of preservation of anonymity of the user as it has been described in Billstrom (See Billstrom col. 3, lines 2548) for the purposes of privacy rights.

- 6. As per claims 13, 14, 15, and 17, Rai discloses a method in accordance with claims 1, 2, 3, and 5, and further discloses that the inputting of the user request to the first network (home warless service provider), the transmitting of the user request and an authorization of payment to the second network (foreign service provider), and the transmitting of the authentication information from the second network to the first network and to the user are by secure communications (See Rai col 2, lines 8-14).
- 7. As per claims 3 and 32, Rai discloses a method in accordance with claims 2, 26, and 27 and further discloses that the quantification (cost) comprises at least one service unit with each service unit being encoded with a random number (See Rai col 30, lined 45-56; col 26, lines 4-10).

Rai further discloses that the user request includes a quantification (cost) of connectivity, which the user requests to the packet data network (See Rai col 27, line 44-col 30, line 46; col 6, lines 26-35)

Art Unit: 3621

Rai further discloses these features at the following citations (See Rai col 27, lines 15-60; col 29, line 40-col 30, line 56).

- 8. As per claims 5, 7, 9, and 28, Rai discloses a method in accordance with claim 1, 2, 3, and 26 and further discloses that the authentication information comprises a shared key (shared secret), which may be used to create secure communications between the user and the packet data network (See Rai col 26, lines 4- 10; col 30, lined 45-56).
- 9. As per claims 6, 8, 10, and 29, Rai discloses a method in accordance with claim 5, 7, and 28 and further discloses that authentication information is a subscriber identification module SIM comprising a number n of service units with each service unit comprising a different random access number uniquely identifying each service unit, a signed response SRES and the shared key Kc (See Rai col 27, line 44-col 30, line 464 col 26, lines 4-10; col 30, lined 45-56).
- 10. As per claims 18, 34, and 35, Rai discloses a method in accordance with claims 3, 30, and 32 and further discloses that after the user is informed that authentication to obtain connection to the packet data network has been obtained, the user transmits to the second network at least one request for consumption of at least one service unit comprising a random number RANDI and a signed response SRES; the second network compares the random number RAND and signed response SRES of each request for consumption of at least one service unit received from the user with stored random numbers and signed responses SRES to determine if a match exists; and if a match exists, the second network permits data packets to pass through the second network between the user and the packet network (See Rai col 27, line 44-col 30, line 46; col 26, lines 4-10; col 30, lined 45-56; Fig. 3; col 6, line 64-col 7, line 12; col 8, lines 40-62; col 4, line 60-col 5, line 7; col 26, line 48-57; col 25, lines 42-50).

Art Unit: 3621

- 11. Claims 4, 11-12, 16, 19-20, and 31-33 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over U.S. Patent No. 6,577,643 to Girish Rai and U.S. Patent No. 6,036,090 to Tariq Rahman et al. and U.S. Patent No. 5,729,537 to Lars Axel Billstrom and further in view of U.S. Patent No. 5,930,777 to Timoty P. Barber.
- 12. As per claims 4, 31, and 33, Rai, Rahman, and Bilstrom disclose a method in accordance with claims 3, 30, and 32, Rai further discloses that each service unit is encoded with a random number (See Rai col 30, lined 45-564 col 26, lines 4-10).

Rai further discloses the utilization of secure networks (See Rai col 2, lines 10-14).

Rai does not explicitly disclose that each service unit has a different random number.

However, Barber and Kirby disclose that the second network debits from a stored value of service units (balance) which have been granted to the user a number of consumed service units which are identified in each request for consumption of at least one service unit until the number of consumed service units equals the number of granted service units (balance available) (See Rai col 5, lines 50-56; col 9, line 23-30; col 2, lines 62-66 also, see Kirby col 10, lines 46-55, col 11, line 48-col 12, line 13, col 12, lines 39-68, and col 22, line 55-col 24, line 25).

However, Barber discloses that each service unit is encoded with a different random number (See Barber col 30, lined 45-56).

Therefore, it would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to add Barber's utilization of different random numbers to Rai's utilization random numbers. One would have been motivated to do this to ensure a higher level of security.

13. As per claim 11, Rai, Rahman, and Bilstrom disclose a method in accordance with claim 4, and Rai further discloses that the authentication information comprises a shared key (shared secret), which may be used to create secure communications between the user and the packet data network (See Rai col 26, lines 4- 10; col 30, lined 45-56).

- 14. As per claim 12, Rai, Rahman, and Bilstrom discloses a method in accordance with claim 11, and Rai further discloses that authentication information is a subscriber identification module SIM comprising a number n of service units with each service unit comprising a different random access number uniquely identifying each service unit, a signed response SRES and the shared key Kc (See Rai col 27, line 44-col 30, line 464 col 26, lines 4-10; col 30, lined 45-56).
- As per claim 16, Rai, Rahman, and Bilstrom discloses a method in accordance with claim 4, and Rai further discloses that the inputting of the user request to the first network (home warless service provider), the transmitting of the user request and an authorization of payment to the second network (foreign service provider), and the transmitting of the authentication information from the second network to the first network and to the user are by secure communications (See Rai col 2, lines 8-14).
- 16. As per claims 19 and 20, Rai, Rahman, and Bilstrom discloses a method in accordance with claim 18, and Rai further discloses, a table (See Rai col 37, line 60-col 38, line 40) and extensive accounting procedures (col 27, line 44-col 30, line 46).

Rai does not explicitly disclose debiting or a hash table.

However, Barber discloses that the second network debits from a stored value of service units which have been granted to the user a number of consumed service units which are identified in each request for consumption of at least one service unit until the number of consumed service units equals the number of granted service units (See Rai col 5, lines 50-56., col 9, line 23-30., col 2, lines 62-66).

However, Barber discloses that each unused service unit is stored in the second network in a hash table and each used service unit is stored in the second network in a hash table (See Rai col 10, lines 17-67, col 8, lines 45-65).

Therefore, it would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to add Barber's utilization of debiting and a hash table to Rai's utilization of tables and accounting information. One would have been motivated to do this because a debiting is an obvious

Art Unit: 3621

way of managing a user account and a hash table is an obvious way of recording user utilization and

accounting information.

Conclusion

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or concerning this

communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Kambiz Abdi whose

telephone number is (571) 272-6702. The Examiner can normally be reached on Monday-Friday,

9:30am-5:00pm. If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the Examiner's

supervisor, Andrew Fischer can be reached at (571) 272-6779.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application

Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from

either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through

Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see

http://portal.uspto.gov/external/portal/pair

Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic

Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Any response to this action should be mailed to:

Commissioner of Patents and Trademarks Washington, D.C. 20231

or faxed to:

(571) 273-8300 [Official communications; including After Final communications labeled "Box AF"]

(571) 273-6702 [Informal/Draft communications, labeled "PROPOSED" or "DRAFT"]

Hand delivered responses should be brought to the Examiner in the

Knox Building, 50 Dulany St. Alexandria, VA.

PRIMARY EXAMINER

Kambiz AbdiPrimary Examiner
AU 3621

Page 9

November 22, 2006